UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/728,422	12/05/2003	Joseph W. Cole	112300-3391	9411
		7590 06/25/200 & LLOYD LLP		EXAMINER	
	P.O. Box 1135			MOSSER, ROBERT E	
	CHICAGO, IL	00090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			·	3714	
•				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				06/25/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENTS@BELLBOYD.COM

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summers	10/728,422	COLE ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Robert Mosser	3714					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	••				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timudily and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133)					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•				
		action is non-final.						
3)	secution as to the merits	s is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.	•		•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	7.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage					
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)			•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite					
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/24/04</u> .	6) Other:	atorit Application	•				
S Patent and Te	redemands Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/728,422

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information Disclosure statement submitted May 24th, 2004 has been review by the Examiner, a copy of said statement including the Examiner's notations has been attached for the Applicant's records.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims **1-4**, and **9-10**, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marnell (US 5,393,057).

Claims 1-4: Marnell teaches a method of playing a game including:

accepting a wager (Col 4:10-30);

presenting a main game of video poker including the generation and display of a set of cards representing a player hand (Figure 3);

determining the outcome of the main game through the comparison of the player hand to a set of predetermined winning hands (Col 4:40-56);

awarding a winning amount if the player hand matches a predetermined winning hand(Col 4:40-56);

Art Unit: 3714

determining if the winning hand corresponds to a predetermined category of bonus event hands (Col 5:61-6:13);

incriminating the predetermined category of bonus event hands resultant of a match between the winning player hand and the predetermined category of bonus event hands(Col 5:61-6:13, 9:20-41); and

playing the bonus event concurrently with the play of the main game wherein based on the random selection of winning hands across a plurality of categories of said bonus event a bonus is awarded reflective of the categories randomly selected (Col 10:7-24).

Claims 9-10: Marnell teaches a method of playing a game including:

restricting play to a base game when said wager is below a threshold and allowing play of the bonus game when the wager is above a threshold (Col 5:37-50); and

funding the bonus award from a portion of the player wagers in a progressive prize system (Col 4:63-5:7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3714

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims **5-8** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marnell (US 5,393,057) as applied to at least claims **1-4** above, and further in view of Bennett (US 6,419,579).

Marnell teaches a method of playing a game as set forth above however is silent regarding the utilization of two dice to determine a multiplier utilized in combination with a bonus winning to determine an additional payout amount or equivalently described as a score. In a related invention however, Bennett teaches the utilization of dice feature including the incorporation of 2 dice in a card game to determine a supplemental payout amount (Figure 2; Col 1:51-2:44). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have incorporated the utilization of dice to determine a supplemental prize multiplier as taught by Bennett into the invention of Marnell in order to maintain a player interest in a gaming machine as taught by Bennett (*Bennett* Col 5-15).

Art Unit: 3714

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Mosser whose telephone number is (571)-272-4451. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/RM/ June 18th, 2007

MARK SAGER
PRIMARY EXAMINER